

PHILIPPI'S TUTTI-FRUTTI.

"THE GLOOMY GATE" IS ALTOGETHER A MASTERPIECE.

A Notable Performance at the Irving Place Theatre—Return of Frederick Bonn—The Hamlet of Forbes Robertson—An Interesting Version of the Character—Some Other Interpretations.

Felix Philipp's theatrical tutti frutti entitled "Das Dunkle Thor" served to bring back Ferdinand Bonn to Conried's Irving Place playhouse last week. The piece has been variously translated as "The Tunnel" and "The Dark Gateway." It might better be named "The Gloomy Gate." However, it matters little how one translates—the play is nevertheless tutti frutti, a mixture of many kinds of cream boldly adapted from such masters as Ibsen, Hauptmann, Zola and a few others.

In January of last year Herr Bonn appeared in a much more compact and powerful play in "Das Grosse Licht," by the same playwright, wherein, in "bright light," which began with a wild dream of glory in the imagination of the young mural decorator Fritz Ramussen, modulates into felly of grandeur and ends in a brilliant delirium. The main idea comes from Ibsen's "Master Builder," but diluted with theatrical expedients which prove very effective in performance. Herr Bonn was very successful in his impersonation of the sick-brained artist crazed by jealousy. The play, with its homely realism in the early acts, its cleverly contrived massed episodes and the cumulative splendor of the Cathedral scene, with its "Meistersinger" atmosphere of song and procession, should appeal to the artistic taste of Richard Mansfield. Here is a character that would fit him like a glove—a young artist-romantic in love, jealousy, and with a very deft portrait of mania. Not profound, yet suited to the English as well as the German stage, quite as well as "Old Heidelberg."

But "The Gloomy Gate" is far from being "The Great Light." It is not so human in theme, not so well put together, the love interest verges on the absurd, while the grouped scenes are employed too frequently. Philipp, possibly because he hit the mark in the other work, believed he could outdo Hauptmann and write a *Volkspiece*, a folks-play after the fashion of "The Weavers." He has not succeeded. One or two scenes are striking: when the miners come into the little mountain inn after a hard day's work to eat their meagre supper and drink their brown beer—also served in empty tin cups on this occasion—the Angles, the miners, pause in their gabbling and gabbling to pray—simply and untheatrically, in the quarrel over the suspension of work with their chief engineer—feminine interest is not lacking at this juncture: the rough, surly scoundrel who stirs up the miners is jealous of his superior. He knows the girl loves the other man.

These pictures are vital and stirring, though palpably copied from Hauptmann. And Mr. Conried has not spared himself trouble in the stage setting. It is excellent in its fidelity to life, especially as the company has been carefully rehearsed.

The principal motive is the tempting of Falkenberg by Wandenberg to suppress the truth about the tunnel, the dark gateway, which is about to cave in on account of a sinister underground torrent. To keep the workmen in ignorance will give them their daily bread, argues the mephistophelian financier; by throwing dust in the eyes of the wealthy committee millions will be paid, and, naturally enough, both the engineer and his elderly adviser must profit by their criminal compact.

The engineer manfully resists. He is honest. He loves his men, and the sacrifice of their lives because of his wanton lie is unbearable to him. Here occurs the real dramatic scene. Wandenberg, after pleading, becomes threatening, furious, apoplectic and finally whining. He goes to the door in a tragicomic mood, declares that he will not be coming the living on the morrow. An old rule, yet it works, and the tender-hearted engineer sorrowfully acquiesces in the conspiracy. He loves his benefactor. He will go before the committee and lie. He will remain silent in the presence of his workmen.

As played by Ferdinand Bonn and Julius Kobler, the result was striking. Kobler had just played a chorale role in "Die Strömung." Max Haibe's powerful but disappointing play—and a rôle more brutal. As Wandenberg his scope is wider: he is silky in his fawning, threatening in his wrath, supple in his attitude when allaying the suspicions of his fellow councillors. He is a capital foil to Bonn.

The committee scene is modeled after the first act of "The Great Light," though miniature. The characters are, for the most part, as against fourteen in the former piece, and they are sketched in with a sure hand. Bonn enters. He is confused, torn by his sense of fair play, by his horror of the catastrophe that must come, also by his love for Lene, a poor waiter girl—a variant of a familiar and unreal type—who serves the miners with food and drink. It is easy to see what is coming. The engineer broods down, and, as if he were rushing to the open windows and tells the workmen the whole truth. There is a hurrah, red lights and theatricalism. The directors are agitated, but Wandenberg swears that Falkenberg is crazy, and the curtain falls on Act III.

This whole slice of life will inevitably suggest Ibsen's "Enemy of the People." Dr. Stockman, for example, is the type of the poisonous waters of the bathing place at which he is a physician; instead of being called a hero for his exposure he is denounced as an enemy of his town's prosperity. The same theme was unquestionably worrying Philipp when he wrote "The Gloomy Gate," though he gives it a different setting, a different characterization—and he does play results. In the last act the miners rebel against their chief when he informs them that rather than imperil their lives he will blow up the tunnel. He is the enemy of his people and is even threatened with violence. The fomentor of the revolt is his rival, Dominik, who finally rushes to the tunnel, there to meet his death at the hands of a crazy old shoemaker, whose daughter he has ruined, whose son he has murdered. Bang! Up goes the tunnel. Bioscopic clouds a *la* Walkure flit across the background; Falkenberg embraces Lene, and Wandenberg has to make the best of a hopeless situation. "The new day dawns!" cries the chief engineer—and Mr. Conried's asbestos curtain severs them all from our view.

Lene, who knows of the doomed tunnel, takes a glass of wine with Falkenberg in Act I. Either it is wine or it is leap year, for the hitherto reticent maiden goes stark mad, the madness of a cat that encounters a bunch of cats. She throws herself at the timid young man, exclaiming with terrific fervor, "Johanne!" And then he awakes as if from a moose stupor and expresses his surprise. There are signs

on the stage, but snickerings in the audience. No wonder! A love scene is dragged in at the wrong end of the act, after the powerful interval of the two men—an anticlimax is the result, while the suddenness of the affair, its lack of preparation, causes laughter. Why Philipp, who is at least a trained playwright, should not have foreseen this surprises one.

Bertha Hocco played with as much dignity as possible throughout this trying passage; but Duse herself could not have carried off with success the ridiculous situation.

The best conceived rôle in the play is not that of the hero. A miserable old cobbler it is, who has gone mad from his wrongs—Dominik he kills for depriving him of his children. As enacted by Gustav von Seyffertitz he looked like a lean, withered spider, bent double by age and dissipation. He nicknamed *Hals*, because of the little chin he makes every time he speaks. Herr Seyffertitz waxed considerably in the estimation of those who only regarded him as a comedian. The part is a strong character one, and he portrayed it with a touch of the uncanny which added to the wretched pathos. And not a smile did he exhort with his horrible "hi-hi." He rang every possible change of intonation in the clucking, even in his nightmare he gave it a ghostly quality. It may be fairly said that this actor won the triumph of the evening. Bonn's part was not interesting, and the second apathetic. Kievschiner, Holz, Meyer and Haensler covered themselves with distinction in the committee scene. Willy Frey must not be forgotten, nor must Julius Faller as the scoundrel Dominik, a figure straight from Zola's "Germinal." Altogether "The Gloomy Gate" is a masterpiece, and now to "Hamlet!" Do not suppose for a moment that you are to be bored by a tedious analysis of the play. There is nothing new to be written of Shakespeare, cry the many, and then we all fall to scribbling furiously at the base of his monument. The scholar cares little for the histrionic side of the play; it is the poetic, dramatic, archeologic interest that appeals. Shakespeare, the manager who dipped his pen in his own soul and then wrote with one eye on the box office, is a figure very distasteful to the academic. I was glad to read the reprint administered to Churton Collins by the London Academy several weeks ago. Mr. Collins, in his newly published "Studies in Shakespeare," wrote of "Hamlet": "It is, in relation to its motive and main interest, a purely psychological study; and to that study the whole action of the drama is subordinated."

The Academy raises a sensible objection which sums up our old contention that the dramatist was primarily a playwright—the "purple patches" of poetry were not for his public.

Says the English weekly: "This, as we see it, is a sample of that bad habit of reading into Shakespeare's mind and of putting into his mind thoughts that were never there. Shakespeare, to repeat, was a practical dramatist; he wrote plays in preference to poems and to fiction because he was not a poet, and he wrote the most profitable public, and can be really argued with any sense of conviction that he would have asked the Elizabethan playgoer to come in crowds to see the Elizabethan playgoer 'Hamlet' was like 'Richard III.' and 'Macbeth,' a stirring drama of blood and thunder; ghosts, daggers, duels, murders all appealed to the spectators in the theatre, and the playgoer, for energy, movement and, above all, horrors; but for psychology—no! Doubtless the psychology is there, as it is in any great drama by any great dramatist; and poetry, because Shakespeare was not at all a playwright, but Mr. Collins is certainly wrong in writing that 'the whole action of the drama is subordinated' to the study of psychology!"

Every century succeeding Shakespeare's has discovered in him a specific side, which has resulted in giving the world of criticism a Shakespeare of the seventeenth, of the eighteenth, of the nineteenth and—later—of the twentieth centuries. This is the test of true greatness. And the stage has followed a parallel proceeding. We could no longer, except for antiquarian reasons, endure the bloodthirsty, violent *Hamlets* of the younger years. Each generation of playgoers has its ideal Prince of Denmark. Light, airy, elegant, the aristocrat of *Hamlet* has fled before the eyes of the world—fat and puffy, tall and thin, wide and slender, thick and stumpy. Poetic, mad, glad, sad, bad—many, many of these!—subtle, melancholic, brawny, fantastic and tragic *Hamlets* have stood within the firing line of criticism, and the cry is, Still they come! Nor must the epiche *Hamlet* be omitted. It is the playgoer who has tried to interpret a natural, sane, healthy and beautiful born temperament. *Hamlet* has not even escaped Sarah Bernhardt, and Edith Wynne Matthison hopes to make her essay of the rôle interesting. All the world's a *Hamlet*!

Forbes Robertson, the latest exponent in New York, has met with approval. This was a foregone conclusion. Yet when I first saw him, heard him, his embodiment of the rôle seemed more gracious in contour, more misty in poetic illusion. He was not in the best of health at that time—yet a robust *Hamlet* is not an attractive spectacle. Witness Willard, witness Ferdinand Bonn. The nervous fidget of the English actor, the nervous fidget of the Knickerbocker greatly surprised me, for in climaxes he was always lacking. Therefore all the more surprised and disappointed was I when these same climaxes were not touched. The truth is that Mr. Robertson, who has art of a finished kind, cannot sound the big dramatic note. It does not lie within his compass. There is Mansfield, for example, as *Hamlet*, who is a more angular, ferocious, but tempered, jerky, his motions those of a semi-epileptic. Yet I have not the slightest doubt that in the noblest moments of the tragedy Mansfield would mount and mount until he reached the very ecstasy of a climax. However, climaxes are not all of *Hamlet*. The *Hamlet* nature is born in a man and not to be acquired. Robertson has some of the traits of *Hamlet*, though not the profound nor the poetic ones. Elouction is his trump card, and he always plays it with success.

If *Hamlet* is no weaking, he is also neither burly, nor too cynically self-confident. His irony is a citadel from which he fights his enemies. He is the master ironist of dramatic life. Every scene of his is a "second intention." His finer shadows in whose concealing dusk we search and search for precious meanings. Thrice alighted, still his well worn phrases mock the student. *Hamlet* is the modern Sphinx. His madness, or rather his superb sanity that could simulate madness until the border line between reason and folly was crossed and recrossed, is a crux for the centuries. That very speech, "For there is nothing either good or bad, but thinking makes it so," is in itself a revelation of the moral causality which Shakespeare, patterning after Montaigne, knew how to juggle so well, both in *Hamlet* and *Iago*; and the great psychologist, who never erred, has indicated that the man who deceives other people into the belief that he is mad has growing

within his brain the germ of future madness. But it is the ironic *Hamlet* that is so provocative of interest to the modern mind. With the old time heroes we may dispense, but our modern *Hamlet*, whatever else he may be, must be an ironist, with an irony that causes a pleasant stringency on the mental palate. Mr. Robertson is often cynical, seldom ironical.

It is difficult to discern the memory of other *Hamlets* in the presence of a new one. A critical *tabula rasa* is an impossibility, for criticism thrives by comparison. If one cannot recall Booth or Irving, then Robertson looms large. And I have seen old men fairly gnash their teeth when Irving's *Hamlet* was discussed, and when their eyes were turned to the stage, they had seen the elder Booth. The truth is, the part is so overgrown with traditional "business" that the omission of some detail of no vital significance, yet long associated in our recollection of the performance, has a most disturbing effect on the seasoned playgoer. Every speech, every situation, has its history, and back of that history legend rears its mysterious head. The consequence is that when chief essays *Hamlet* his chief thought is usually concentrated on the How instead of the Why. We get new crossings, new poses, new readings, new scenic transpositions, new garbs, new omissions, but seldom do we get a *Hamlet*, either new or old.

Let us be grateful, therefore, to Mr. Robertson for his scholarly blending of the old and the new traditions. By nature this actor is not an extremist. He prefers the golden mediocrity of effects to distorted or bizarre methods. He is to be applauded, for he knows his limit, and that fact proclaims the wise man. I feel like quoting George Moore's famous note regarding Whistler's weight. If Whistler had been twenty-five pounds heavier, he might have painted like a second Velasquez; if George Robertson had weighed twenty-five pounds more, he would not be a second Booth! But he would look more like *Hamlet*!

From gods to gods is often but a generation. The false gods pass and the true gods come. And then the true gods crumble, for are not their feet like the feet of all gods, clay? Nothing endures—save the fame and plays of William Shakespeare. JAMES HUNTER.

OUR ART APPRECIATED.

Upward Movement in Prices of Landscapes by American Painters.

The predominance of landscape canvases by American painters in the collection of the late Senator Frederick S. Gibbs, which was lately sold at auction in Mendelssohn Hall, led to a discussion among those who follow the annual picture sales as to the relative prices brought by American pictures at public auctions in New York in recent years.

Thomas E. Kirby of the American Art Association was the trouble of looking up the records of the paintings by five of the most conspicuous American landscape artists which had been sold in a score of years under his own eye. The results of his search were interesting.

By Homer Martin, for instance, whose name has figured a good deal in the auctions of this off season, there have been twenty-two canvases in the public sale of the last twenty years under the direction of Kirby. Of course it is well known that Martin strove long without any public recognition for speaking of until it was too late for him to enjoy it. But it is instructive to note some of the figures of these sales.

In the Thomas B. Clarke sale of 1898, a Martin 2 x 32 inches sold for \$1,500, and one 24 x 30 for \$5,500. In the William T. Evans sale, a Martin 25 x 35 sold for \$3,200, and one 36 x 50, the famous "Westchester Hills," for \$4,750.

Two years later, at the F. F. Milliken sale, "Westchester Hills" brought \$5,300. In 1899, at the Clarke sale a Martin 16 x 12 brought \$350; in 1900, at the Evans sale, one 16 x 20 brought \$620, and in 1903, at the H. W. Sullivan (Brooklyn) sale, one 54 x 28 brought \$225.

Of J. Francis Murphy's paintings twenty-nine have been sold in the same period under the same management. In 1899 an 8 x 10 canvas from the D. W. Powers (Rochester) collection sold for \$265; in 1900 a canvas 9 x 12; from the Evans collection for \$255; in 1899 one from the Clarke sale, 32 x 20, for \$1,000; one from the Evans sale, 24 x 33, for \$1,075, and in 1903 one 24 x 33 from the Sullivan sale for \$1,500.

Two of the leading names among living American landscape painters appear more often than that of Robert C. Minor, yet only fifteen examples of the artist's work are enumerated in the sales in this last score of years.

To call a canvas 12 x 18, by Minor, sold in 1892 for \$180, or a canvas 30 x 22, at the third George T. Sneye sale in 1904, sold for \$210; or a canvas 9 x 12, at the T. B. Clarke sale of 1899, sold for \$210, and in the following year, 1900, these three Minors were sold from the William T. Evans collection. A canvas 18 x 20 for \$675; a canvas 22 x 32 for \$1,700, and one 30 x 50 for \$3,050.

By A. H. Wyant there were forty-five canvases in the public sale. A Wyant 18 x 18 was sold in 1897 for \$75; at the second Sneye sale, in 1891, three were sold, as follows: 15 x 12 at \$450, 18 x 30 at \$650, and 16 x 20 at \$775; at the Clarke sale of 1899 a Wyant 20 x 30 sold at \$1,200, one 18 x 30 at \$2,500, and at the Evans sale of 1900 a canvas 24 x 30 sold for \$2,550, and one 37 x 50 for \$5,500.

The score of years from 1883 to 1903 saw 148 examples of the work of the late George Inness brought to the public sale. In the first place, many more pictures with Inness's name were sold during this time, some of them bearing the name of Inness justly, in various markets, and some of them by no means entitled to it.

A few of the 148 will afford an indication of the drift of popular appreciation and also of the current of amateur and expert valuation. At the first Sneye sale of 1885, an Inness 30 x 46 inches sold at \$800; at the Sneye sale of 1891 an Inness 30 x 46 sold at \$812. In the second Sneye sale of 1904, an Inness 32 x 42, of Mr. Clarke's sale at \$1,800.

In the following year, at the American Art Association sale of 1892, an Inness, 30 x 42, brought \$2,200, and two years later at the sale of the George T. Sneye estate a canvas by Inness, 30 x 42, went for \$3,050. In the following year, at the sale of the H. W. Sullivan (Brooklyn) sale, an Inness 32 x 42, of the same dimensions sold at \$3,550.

The year 1899 was marked by the sale of Inness's collection in the public market, the greatest of the Innesses, those in the collection of Thomas B. Clarke, whose possession of them had evoked mingled feelings of awe and admiration. The collection was by choice or necessity or timidity, stood by and watched Mr. Clarke through the previous years accumulate those treasures. An Inness 32 x 42, of the same dimensions sold at \$4,100; one 16 x 24, the "Delaware Valley," an early work of the artist's, which was bought by the Metropolitan Museum of Art at \$8,100, and the Impressionist and convincing "Grey Lowery Day," 16 x 24, at \$10,150.

The Gibbs collection did not contain canvases comparable with the finest things of Mr. Clarke's choice, by any means; but the relative prices paid at the Gibbs sale for works by these five American painters showed that the general trend of prices for American paintings is still upward.

FROM WEAVER'S BOY TO IMPRESARIO.

Heinrich Conried's Ambition Realized, but Not Without a Struggle.

Heinrich Conried, as a boy, dreamed of being a great actor. One day, away back in 1855, when he was 5 years old, he was taken by his parents on a visit to an aunt, who lived in the same town, Bieleitz, in Silesia, where he was born and brought up.

With the aunt lived the Frau Directorin of the Bieleitz Theatre. Somehow, as children will get such ideas, young Heinrich had had it in his head for some time to be an actor in the local playhouse. He had become so determined on this point that when introduced to the Frau Directorin he told her that he wanted her to engage him on the spot to act in her theatre.

The Frau Directorin looked down at the boy, smiled, then said, as she patted him on the head:

"Not now, little man; but you come back in twenty years—twenty. Then, maybe, we'll see."

Heinrich Conried came back at the end of twenty years almost a day, in 1875. He was then a humble member of a German travelling company, but he was welcomed like a prince. The town turned out to greet him, and the factory in which he had worked as a weaver was gayly decorated in his honor. Bieleitz suspended business to offer congratulations to him and his parents, and the whole town went to the theatre and thunderously applauded their fellow townsman whenever he appeared on the stage.

Conried had worked hard to achieve this much. His school days over, his father, who took no stock in his son's histrionic ambitions, apprenticed him to a weaver. The son struck a bargain with the father:

"I will work hard if you will let me go where I please and do what I please when my apprenticeship is over," he said; and that they agreed.

Heinrich stuck to his part of the agreement for two years; then, one day, he was able to report to his father that he had become a *meister*; his *meisterschaft* had been accepted—his original design, in fifteen colors, of "Faust and Marguerite" had been declared perfect in every detail.

His apprenticeship had not caused him to be weaned from his ambition. Not long afterward the father fulfilled his part of the bargain and young Conried started from Bieleitz for Vienna.

He went to the capital to become an actor; he became instead a clerk in a commission house. He had to, or starve. Then, when he had proved his mettle, his brothers, who were well-to-do, got him a place in a bank.

Perhaps they thought the clink of gold would win the lad from his heart's desire, but the new work simply gave him time to dream more and money enough to enable him to get his breakfast at a restaurant where an actor was in the habit of taking his meals.

Mr. Conried confessed that he ate at that restaurant with the sole purpose of being near the actor. In the course of time he and the actor became acquainted, and the latter found out his new acquaintance's hopes. The result was a meeting with the great Foerster of the Burg Theatre.

Foerster took to young Conried at once. Conried had no time to attend Foerster's classes, having to earn a livelihood by day in the bank, so Foerster instructed him in the early morning.

The new pupil proved apt. In a few weeks he was told to attend a test rehearsal at the theatre. He did so with fear and trembling. He was chosen for an engagement out of a possible two score of contestants. He was at last a member of the Burg Theatre, the goal of all Austrian actors, and straightway he gave up his place in the bank.

But his troubles were not yet over. He was penniless. On the third day of his engagement he appeared hungry and weak at the theatre.

Foerster noticed his paleness and inquired its cause. Young Conried protested that he was all right, but half an hour later, as he sat at the breakfast table, the guest of Foerster, the latter learned what was the matter with the new member of his company, and advanced him money so that he would not have to go hungry again.

At that Conried's affairs ran more smoothly. He applied himself diligently, and the time he did not spend in studying his own parts he employed in acquainting himself with Foerster's methods as actor and as manager, as he revealed them at the Burg Theatre.

Thus he early became an opponent of the star system and an unwavering believer in Foerster's system all around excellence, and the suppression of even the greatest actor whenever necessary for the general result.

Among many other lessons taught him by his benefactor, he learned how complicated plays could be staged with facility, if the problem is approached in the right way and the plans clearly thought out beforehand.

Such, in brief, were Mr. Conried's struggles before he left Bieleitz for his triumphal return. And after that, too, there came more hard work. While playing with travelling companies in Austria and Germany he studied as well, and seized every opportunity to appear in tragedy and comedy and to supplement further his knowledge of the managerial end of the business.

Here, in brief, was his application told. He got better and better parts. He was permitted in Berlin to create the rôle of *Dr. Klaus*, which he still plays whenever the spirit moves him at the Irving Place Theatre, New York; and then, his ability at last proved, he was given the leading parts in the classic comedies of Schiller and Goethe, thereby gaining considerable fame throughout Germany.

Thus Herr Conried's affairs progressed until 1878, when Dr. Adolph Neumann of the Germania Theatre in New York found him in Dresden as stage manager of a theatre there. Dr. Neumann was in Germany looking for a stage manager; he studied Herr Conried's way of doing things for a while, then went to him and engaged him for a similar post at the Germania.

He remained at the Germania for a year; then, in 1879, he played star engagements throughout the country in towns with a big German population, such as Cincinnati, Peoria, St. Louis, Milwaukee; and the next year returned to New York as the chief stage manager of the Thalia, to become its manager during the ensuing twelve months.

Mr. Conried, by applying the methods taught him by Foerster, brought the Thalia from a state of decadence into one of prosperity. He also opened the eyes of the theatrical world by his setting.

the field, thought he saw a good opportunity and accepted Mr. Steiny's proposition. Now the Irving Place Theatre is New York's leading German playhouse.

By Mr. Conried, who, from the moment he took control, applied the lessons taught him by his benefactor, Foerster.

Herr Conried's management of the Metropolitan Opera House and his production of "Parsifal" marked the next stage of his career.

The Brooklyn Theatres. "Glittering Gloria," late of Daly's Theatre, is to make her bow in Brooklyn at the Amphitheatre to-morrow night. Her fierce bulldog and the two trunks which are so handy for timid admirers when the bulldog is loose accompany her, and the two Cyrils, Scott, Ferdinand, Gottschalk and Phyllis Rankin in the cast are sure to have a potent attraction.

At the Montauk Charles Frohman will present Clyde Fitch's clever comedy "The Doctor and the Nurse," with a cast which includes Clara Bloodgood and Robert Drouet as the leading man.

Chauncey Olcott comes to the Grand Opera House with "Terrence," one of his characteristic romances, which is sure to be in the week containing St. Patrick's day.

At the Star Sam Devere and his own burlesque company are the attractions.

Hyde & Bohman's have a programme of varied interest. Hassan Ben Ali's Arab, clever acrobat, Pat Rooney and Emma Francis, dancers; Adolph Zink the Lilliputian and other well known artists are in it.

Mrs. Spooner's stock company appropriately gives an Irish play, "The Colleen Bawn." Edna May Spooner plays the colleen life.

The Folly has "Quincy Adams Sawyer," a famous New England melodrama, for the week.

Corse Payton at the Lee Avenue Theatre is playing a dramatic version of "Parsifal."

"Driven From Home" is the week's play at the Columbia, and an exciting play it is.

At the Park "An Heiress to Millions" is the attraction.

There are many star features in the programme at the Orpheum.

"The Man Who Dared" is the offering at the Novelty.

"For His Brother's Crime" is at the Gotham.

At the Fulton Street Theatre good vaudeville is the attraction.

A strong bill is announced by Manager Watson of the Cozy Corner.

NOTES OF MUSIC EVENTS.

The final symphony concert for young people, at Carnegie Hall, on Saturday afternoon, March 26, will illustrate modern opera. Several well known soloists will appear, among them, Lillian Blauvelt, Mr. Damrosch will present orchestral and vocal selections from works by Verdi, Wagner, Gounod and Bizet. Examples of both the old and the new styles of Verdi will be given. The work of the French composers and excerpts from "Tristan and Isolde," "Die Meistersinger" and "The Nibelungen Ring" will show the methods of the great master who created the modern music drama. Judging by the large attendance and by the personal expressions of interest and pleasure heard at the concert, this year's historical series has been greatly appreciated, both for Mr. Damrosch's instructive but brief and, and for the well chosen music.

Every important period, beginning with the contrapuntal music of the fourteenth century or earlier, has been covered in these programmes.

A considerable interest is being taken in this year's Richard Wagner festival at the Princeton Theatre and in the Mozart festival at the Royal Residence and Royal Hof theatre in Munich, and in the Wagner festival in Vienna. The Wagner festival is to be given at the Princeton Theatre from Aug. 12 to Sept. 14. Besides General Music Director Mettl, Conductors Hofmeister and Fink will be present. The Wagner festival will be given at the Princeton Theatre from Aug. 12 to Sept. 14. Besides General Music Director Mettl, Conductors Hofmeister and Fink will be present. The Wagner festival will be given at the Princeton Theatre from Aug. 12 to Sept. 14. Besides General Music Director Mettl, Conductors Hofmeister and Fink will be present.

The People's Choral Union will give its annual concert at Carnegie Hall on April 11. Besides Max Bruch's cantata, "The Cross of Fire," and the finale of Act III of "Die Meistersinger," the choir will sing two parts, Henry Holden Huss's "The Reconciliation" and Edgar Sullivan Kelly's "O Captain My Captain." The chorus will be assisted by the New York Symphony Orchestra.

The French Grand Opera Company from New Orleans will begin its three weeks engagement at the Grand Opera House to-morrow evening with "La Juive." Mme. Gulcinck will be the Rachel. Mme. Pachter will be the Esther. Mr. Gauthier the Eliezer. The cast includes the following: Mr. Gauthier for the rôle of Tuesday; "Carmen," Wednesday night, "Mignon," Wednesday night, "Il Trovatore," Thursday, "Cendrillon," Friday, "La Juive," Saturday afternoon, "Cendrillon," Saturday night, "La Juive."

The Boston Symphony Orchestra is due again at Carnegie Hall on Thursday night and Saturday afternoon. The programme will be given by the "Academy" orchestra, Tchaikovsky's 5th minor piano concerto, Beethoven's "Coriolanus" overture and Schumann's 5th symphony. After the 5th symphony, Elgar's "Sea Pictures," Alkmeon's lyric poem, opus 28; Strube's "Faintest Overture," three songs by Brahms and Wagner's "Die Meistersinger" prelude. The soloist will be Muriel Foster.

A "Holy Railroad" in Canada. From the Boston Transcript. In these days, when railroads are run more for what there is in them for a favored few than for the accommodation of the general public, it may be interesting to recall the fact that there is right here in New America a "holy railroad."

Think of riding on a holy railroad! But those who have travelled on it know that they must not expect the comforts of Paradise. It may be called "holy," but it seems to be run, more the less, with an eye to dividends. The charges are high and the service poor.

Legends as Heath-Rug Pests. From the Bangkok Times. A kindly correspondent up country writes: "Do you ever see a big white animal? I can send you a few pictures, you know, but I don't want to put them at your disposal. I can also procure a tiger skin."

Another stayer is George Ade's "The County Chairman," at Wallack's. People go to see that entertaining politician over and over again, and don't seem to laugh with any the less the fourth time than the first.

For a different reason theatre-goers like

AT THE THEATRES THIS WEEK.

SEVERAL NOTABLE OPENINGS FOR TO-MORROW NIGHT.

Henry Miller to Bring Out "Man Proposes"—Century Players Coming to Town—Mansfield Back in Repertoire—Debut of Miss Kennedy in a Social Play.

Henry Miller comes back to town this week with a new play. At the Hudson Theatre to-morrow night he will produce, under the management of Charles Frohman, a four-act comedy called "Man Proposes," by the English playwright Ernest Denny. It tells the story of an older brother in possession of a great title and estates, and a younger one, the family scapegrace, who, by passing himself off as the nobleman, involves the latter in an intrigue with the girl they both love. It all ends happily, and Mr. Miller, who takes the part of the nobleman, who, until recently, has been plain Dr. Leigh, has special opportunities to display his abilities in the rôle of the family scapegrace, and who, by passing himself off as the nobleman, involves the latter in an intrigue with the girl they both love. It all ends happily, and Mr. Miller, who takes the part of the nobleman, who, until recently, has been plain Dr. Leigh, has special opportunities to display his abilities in the rôle of the family scapegrace, and who, by passing himself off as the nobleman, involves the latter in an intrigue with the girl they both love. It all ends happily, and Mr. Miller, who takes the part of the nobleman, who, until recently, has been plain Dr. Leigh, has special opportunities to display his abilities in the rôle of the family scapegrace, and who, by passing himself off as the nobleman, involves the latter in an intrigue with the girl they both love. It all ends happily, and Mr. Miller, who takes the part of the nobleman, who, until recently, has been plain Dr. Leigh, has special opportunities to display his abilities in the rôle of the family scapegrace, and who, by passing himself off as the nobleman, involves the latter in an intrigue with the girl they both love. It all ends happily, and Mr. Miller, who takes the part of the nobleman, who, until recently, has been plain Dr. Leigh, has special opportunities to display his abilities in the rôle of the family scapegrace, and who, by passing himself off as the nobleman, involves the latter in an intrigue with the girl they both love. It all ends happily, and Mr. Miller, who takes the part of the nobleman, who, until recently, has been plain Dr. Leigh, has special opportunities to display his abilities in the rôle of the family scapegrace, and who, by passing himself off as the nobleman, involves the latter in an intrigue with the girl they both love. It all ends